28/593,796



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 607/308, 796 01/39/96 WATERSEN F08176522 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER TECMAS J ROSEA TROSE SERIES AND KODDA FO 85X 2850 SECTION OF YOUR BUILD 0300 **DATE MAILED:** 00/2.756 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on_____ This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire ____ _ month(s), ___ Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. SUB Pro-948 Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. 1. Diciaims 1- 20 Of the above, claims ______ are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims 3. Claims 4. Claims 5. Claims ___ ____ are objected to. 6. XI Claims 1-20 are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. X. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ____ ... has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ______, has been approved; addisapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received not been received □ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on ____ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial Number: 08/593,796

Art Unit: 3302

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1 - Embodiment of Figs. 1 and 2;

Species 2 - Embodiment of Fig. 3;

Species 3 - Embodiment of Fig. 11;

Species 4 - Embodiment of Figs. 12 and 13; and

Species 5 - Embodiment of Figs. 14-16.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Serial Number: 08/593,796

Art Unit: 3302

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lynne A. Reichard at telephone number (703) 308-1159.

Additionally, any facsimile transmissions concerning this application should be directed to Lynne A. Reichard at fax number (703) 308-2864.

LYNNE A.REICHARD PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3302

L. Reichard May 16, 1996